

Attachment B - PL20250010

Applicant's Narrative Response to Variance Standards

- (1) A literal enforcement of the particular regulation would result in undue and unnecessary hardship to a property owner because the particular shape, size, location or topography of a lot or parcel, or of a structure thereon, would cause practical difficulties that would deprive the owner of reasonable use and enjoyment of such lot or parcel in the same manner as other properties similarly situated.

Without this variance the property owner would not be able to properly maintain their vessel and damage from crustaceans and salt water life overtime will damage their vessel.

- (2) That the special conditions relate to unusual conditions peculiar to the specific lot or parcel or relate to special conditions of the structure involved, and are not generally applicable to other lands or structures similarly situated.

Due to the narrow width of the canal and MHWE we are not able to build within the guidelines in section 126-875(2)(b)

- (3) That the special conditions and circumstances do not result from actions taken by the applicant or proposed by the applicant, and are not otherwise self-imposed.

They do not.

- (4) That the applicant has taken all reasonable steps to mitigate or eliminate the requested variance by the acquisition of adjacent lands or the relocation or redesign of the structure involved.

There are no available properties to purchase adjacent to property that would allow for this structure to be built. We modified the design of the boat lift and crunched it down to the bare minimal size.

- (5) That the development or use of the subject parcel in some other manner than that proposed, in accordance with the applicable requirements, is not feasible.

There is no other available solution in this case.

- (6) That the requested variance will not be adverse to the developed neighborhood scheme and will not adversely affect the plan and scheme set forth in this Land Development Code, and will not cause the proposed development to be inconsistent with the Sanibel Plan nor adverse to the health, safety and general welfare of the community.

It will not effect these conditions.

- (7) That the variance granted is the minimum necessary to mitigate the hardship demonstrated.

Yes it is the minimal required to move forward with the boat lift.